

Nivolumab (new therapeutic indication: renal cell carcinoma, in combination with ipilimumab, first-line treatment)

Resolution of: 15 August 2019 Valid until: unlimited

Entry into force on: 15 August 2019 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 02.10.2019 B5

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 11 January 2019):

OPDIVO in combination with ipilimumab is indicated for first-line treatment of adult patients with intermediate/poor-risk advanced renal cell carcinoma (see Section 5.1).

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with an intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 1–2)

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Bevacizumab in combination with interferon alfa-2a
 - or
- Monotherapy with pazopanib
 - or
- Monotherapy with sunitinib

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab compared with sunitinib:

Indication of a considerable additional benefit

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Sunitinib
 - or
- Temsirolimus

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab compared with sunitinib:

Indication of a considerable additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints¹:

CheckMate 214 study: Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs sunitinib (2nd data cut-off of 6 August 2018)

a) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with an intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 1–2)

Mortality

Endpoint	Nivolumab + ipilimumab			Sunitinib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	N	N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival					
	334	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 124 (37.1)	333	34.83 [28.62; n.c.] 159 (47.7)	0.70 [0.55; 0.88] 0.003 AD: n.c.

Morbidity

Progression-free survival (PFS) ^c								
	334	8.18 ^d [6.93; 9.76] <i>239 (71.6)</i>	333	8.41 ^d [8.02; 9.66] <i>272 (81.7)</i>	0.816 [0.685; 0.972] 0.0217 AD: 0.23 months			

Endpoint	Nivolumab + ipilimumab			Sunitinib			Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value ^f
Symptomatology	,						
FKSI-DRS ⁹	312	31.52 (3.93)	2.53 (1.06)	304	31.20 (4.41)	1.50 (1.06)	1.03 [0.58; 1.47] < 0.001

¹ Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A19-11) and from the addendum (A19-54) unless otherwise indicated.

							Hedges' g: 0.36 [0.203; 0.52]
Health status							
EQ-5D VAS ^g	304	72.70 (24.57)	5.82 (6.59)	301	73.29 (25.49)	1.77 (6.58)	4.06 [1.53; 6.58] 0.002
							Hedges' g: 0.36 [0.10; 0.42]

Endpoint	Nivo	Nivolumab + ipilimumab		Sunitinib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p valueh Absolute difference (AD)b
Health status (tim	ne unti	l confirmed deteriora	ation) ⁱ		
EQ-5D-VAS MID ≥ 7 mm	334	28.58 [26.32; n.a.] <i>120 (35.9)</i>	333	25.59 [20.96; 27.83] 132 (39.6)	0.78 [0.61; 1.01] 0.057
EQ-5D-VAS MID ≥ 10 mm	334	29.96 [26.51; n.a.] <i>116 (34.7)</i>	333	26.25 [23.95; 28.03] 126 (37.8)	0.80 [0.62; 1.03] 0.086

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	Nivo	lumab + ip	ilimumab	ab Sunitinib		b	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value ^f
FACT-G total sco	re ^g						
	309	84.50 (13.73)	5.43 (3.00)	303	82.98 (15.07)	1.78 (3.00)	3.64 [2.05; 5.24]; < 0.001

							Hedges' g: 0.36 [0.201; 0.52]
FACT-G sub-scales ^g (presented additionally)							
Physical well- being	312	24.33 (3.97)	1.80 (1.14)	306	24.29 (4.27)	-0.24 (1.14)	2.03 [1.53; 2.54]
Emotional well- being	311	17.67 (4.29)	1.84 (0.91)	306	16.93 (4.76)	1.49 (0.90)	0.35 [-0.07; 0.78]
Functional well- being	312	19.70 (5.90)	1.95 (1.27)	306	19.50 (6.04)	0.96 (1.27)	0.99 [0.34; 1.65]
Social well-being	312	22.77 (5.58)	0.56 (1.07)	307	22.32 (5.32)	0.12 (1.07)	0.43 [-0.12; 0.99]

Side effects

Endpoint	Nivo	lumab + ipilimumab		Sunitinib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs sunitinib	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b	
Adverse events (p	reser	nted additionally) ^j				
	333	0.26 [0.23; 0.33]	329	0.26 [0.20; 0.30]	-	
		329 (98.8)		325 (98.8)		
Serious adverse events (SAE)						
	333	9.13 [5.88; 12.29]	329	20.83 [14.95; 31.01]	1.38 [1.11; 1.71]	
		192 (57.7)		145 (44.1)	0.004 AD: 11.7 months	
Severe adverse ev	vents	(CTCAE grade 3–4)				
	333	4.21 [3.06; 5.32]	329	2.14 [1.91; 2.86]	0.66 [0.55; 0.79] < 0.001	
		244 (73.3)		260 (79.0)	AD: 2.07 months	
Therapy discontin	nuatio	n because of adverse	even	ts ^k		
	333	n.a. [37.82; n.c.]		n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	1.51 [1.09; 2.09]	
		95 (28.5)		61 (18.5)	0.012 AD: n.c.	

Immune-mediated	d adve	rse events							
	No usable data								
Specific adverse events									
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, AE)	333	no data available 238 (71.5)	329	no data available 287 (87.2)	0.46 [0.39; 0.55] no data available				
Pruritus (PT, AE)	333	no data available 329 no data available 38 (11.6)		3.85 [2.68; 5.54] no data available					
Rash (PT, AE) ^I	333	no data available 329 no data available 57 (17.3)		1.57 [1.12; 2.20] no data available					
Hand-foot syndrome (PT, severe AE [CTCAE Grade 3–4])	333	no data available 1 (0.3) 329 no data available 25 (7.6)		0.04 [0.01; 0.28] no data available					
Myalgia (PT, AE)	333	no data available 329 no data availa 51 (15.3) 23 (7.0)		no data available 23 (7.0)	2.27 [1.39; 3.72] no data available				
Epistaxis (PT, AE)	333	no data available 329 no data available 5 (1.5) 46 (14.0)			0.09 [0.03; 0.22] no data available				
Reduced appetite (PT, AE)	333	no data available 66 (19.8)	329	no data available 95 (28.9)	0.62 [0.45; 0.85] no data available				
Taste disorder (PT, AE)	333	no data available 22 (6.6)	329	no data available 109 (33.1)	0.16 [0.10; 0.25] no data available				
Endocrine disorders (SOC, severe AE [CTCAE- Grade 3–4])	333	no data available 32		no data available 1 (0.3)	2.6 [3.05; > 99.99] no data available				
Hypertension (PT, severe AE [CTCAE- Grade 3–4])	333	no data available 9 (2.7)			0.13 [0.07; 0.27] no data available				
Blood and lymphatic system disorders (SOC, severe AE [CTCAE- Grade 3–4])	333	no data available 14 (4.2)	329	no data available 44 (13.4)	0.30 [0.17; 0.55] no data available				

- a Hazard ratio and CI: Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; stratified according to IMDC score (1 to 2, 3 to 6) and region (US, Canada/Western Europe/Northern Europe, Rest of World) in accordance with IVRS
- b Absolute difference given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation
- c Information from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company in accordance with investigator. No confirmation of the radiological findings by the IRRC was made for this data cut-off.
- d Median survival time according to Kaplan-Meier. The 2-sided 95% CI was calculated using a log-log transformation (according to Brookmeyer and Crowley).
- e Number of patients included in the evaluation to calculate the effect estimate; values at the start of study may be based on other patient numbers.
- f MV and SE (change per treatment group) as well as MD, CI, and p value (group comparison): MMRM
- g A positive change compared with the start of study means an improvement.
- h HR and CI: Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; stratified according to IMDC score (1 to 2, 3 to 6) and region (US, Canada/Western Europe/Northern Europe, Rest of World) in accordance with IVRS and adjusted for value to baseline
- i Confirmed deterioration is considered if the values remain deteriorated by at least 7 or 10 points or if no data is available after deterioration. Patients whose values improve again into the non-clinically relevant range are censored. The analysis includes all the time points collected, including the follow-up time points.
- 100 days of follow-up without recording the progress of the underlying disease
- k 30 days of follow-up without recording the progress of the underlying disease
- I The PT maculopapular rash (AE) shows a significant difference between treatment groups to the detriment of nivolumab + ipilimumab.

Abbreviations used:

CI: confidence interval; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; FACT-G: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – General; FKSI-DRS: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Kidney Symptom Index – Disease related Symptoms; IMDC: International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium; IRRC: Independent Radiology Review Committee; IVRS: Information from the speech dialogue system; MD: mean difference; MMRM: mixed model with repeated measurements; MV: mean value; n: Number of patients with (at least one) event; N: number of patients evaluated; n.c.: not calculable; n.a.: not achieved; PT: preferred term; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; SD: standard deviation; SE: Standard error; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs: versus

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)

Mortality

Endpoint	N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)			Sunitinib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
			N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival					
	91 21.45 [15.08; 27.33] 58 (63.7)		89	9.72 [6.24; 14.32] <i>68 (76.4)</i>	0.58 [0.41; 0.83]; 0.003 AD: 11.73 months

Morbidity

Progression-free survival (PFS) ^c							
	91	6.26 ^d [3.12; 10.74] <i>73 (80.2)</i>	89	4.27 ^d [2.89; 5.72] <i>84 (94.4)</i>	0.599 [0.433; 0.829] 0.0018 AD: 1.99 months		

Endpoint	Nivolumab + ipilimumab			Sunitinib			Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value ^f
Symptomatology	,						
FKSI-DRS ⁹	80	27.80 (5.19)	3.52 (1.36)	76	26.72 (5.79)	2.70 (1.37)	0.82 [-0.30; 1.94]; 0.149
Health status							
EQ-5D VAS ⁹	78	63.38 (24.43)	15.02 (7.32)	74	58.98 (25.96)	13.71 (7.35)	1.31 [-3.58; 6.20]; 0.598

Endpoint	Nivo	lumab + ipilimumab		Sunitinib Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib Median time to event in months [95% CI]			
	event in months event in mo [95% CI] [95% C		event in months [95% CI] Patients with event				
Health status (time until confirmed deteriora			tion) ⁱ				
EQ-5D-VAS MID ≥ 7 mm	91	26.32 [21.42; n.a.] <i>29 (31.9)</i>	89	21.91 [15.05; n.a.] 23 (25.8)	0.64 [0.36; 1.13] 0.122		
EQ-5D-VAS MID ≥ 10 mm	91	26.32 [21.42; n.a.] <i>29 (31.9)</i>	89	21.91 [15.05; n.a.] 22 (24.7)	0.67 [0.38; 1.21] 0.184		

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	Nivo	lumab + ip	ilimumab		Sunitini	ib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV ^f (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value ^f
FACT-G total score ⁹							
	80	76.15 (17.37)	6.53 (3.57)	77	72.67 (15.96)	4.54 (3.59)	2.00 [-1.74; 5.73]; 0.293
FACT-G sub-scal	es ^g (pı	resented a	dditionally)			
Physical well- being	80	20.68 (5.55)	2.96 (1.42)	77	20.44 (5.39)	0.72 (1.42)	2.24 [0.99; 3.49]
Emotional well- being	80	17.23 (4.58)	1.08 (1.11)	77	16.06 (4.65)	0.98 (1.12)	0.10 [-0.85; 1.05]
Functional well- being	80	15.52 (7.31)	2.84 (1.51)	77	14.00 (7.03)	2.07 (1.52)	0.77 [-0.70; 2.25]
Social well-being	80	22.71 (3.97)	1.08 (1.28)	77	22.16 (5.26)	1.90 (1.28)	-0.82 [-1.90; 0.26]

Side effects

Endpoint	Nivo	lumab + ipilimumab		Sunitinib	Nivolumab + ipilimumab vs Sunitinib
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^b
Adverse events (preser	nted additionally) ^j			
	90	0.26 [0.16; 0.39]	87	0.23 [0.16; 0.30]	-
		90 (100.0)		86 (98.9)	
Serious adverse	events	(SAE)			
	90	4.53 [2.92; 6.60]	87	4.24 [2.60; 6.28]	0.89 [0.62; 1.29] 0.551
		60 (66.7)		57 (65.5)	0.551
Severe adverse	events	(CTCAE grade 3–4) ^j			
	90	2.76 [1.58; 4.86]	87	1.35 [0.85; 2.10]	0.57 [0.41; 0.81]
		71 (78.9) 76 (87.4)		0.001 AD: 1.41 months	
Therapy disconti	nuatio	n because of adverse	even	ts ^k	
	90	n.a.	87	19.71 [15.21; n.c.]	0.73 [0.41; 1.29]
		23 (25.6)		25 (28.7)	0.272
Immune-mediate	d adve	rse events			
		No usa	ble da	nta	
Specific adverse	events	6			
Stomatitis (PT, AE)	90	no data available 2 (2.2)	87	no data available 15 (17.2)	0.12 [0,03; 0,51] no data available
Fever (PT, AE)	90	no data available 26 (28.9)	87	no data available 9 (10.3)	2.71 [1.26; 5.80] no data available
Mucositis (PT, AE)	90	no data available 1 (1.1)	87	no data available 25 (28.7)	0.03 [0.00; 0.21] no data available
Epistaxis (PT, AE)	90	no data available 1 (1.1)	87	no data available 9 (10.3)	0.09 [0.01; 0.74] no data available

Pruritus (PT, AE)	90	no data available 22 (24.4)	87	no data available 7 (8.0)	2.94 [1.25; 6.95] no data available
Hand-foot syndrome (PT, severe AE [CTCAE Grade 3–4])	90	no data available <i>0 (0)</i>	87	no data available 7 (8.0)	RR: I 0.007 ^m
Taste disorder (PT, AE)	90	no data available 7 (7.8)	87	no data available 24 (27.6)	0.22 [0.09; 0.51] no data available
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders (SOC, SAE)	90	no data available 8 (8.9)	87	no data available 17 (19.5)	0.34 [0.15; 0.82] no data available
Hypothyroidism (PT, AE)	90	no data available 5 (5.6)	87	no data available 16 (18.4)	0.23 [0.08; 0.63] no data available
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, severe AE [CTCAE Grade 3–4])	90	no data available 7 (7.8)	87	no data available 17 (19.5)	0.38 [0.16; 0.92] no data available
Thrombocyto- penia (PT, severe AE [CTCAE- Grade 3–4])	90	no data available <i>0 (0)</i>	87	no data available 7 (8.0)	RR: _¹ 0.007 ^m
Hypertension (PT, severe AE [CTCAE- Grade 3–4])	90	no data available 4 (4.4)	87	no data available 11 (12.6)	0.20 [0.05; 0.71] no data available

- a Hazard ratio and CI: Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; stratified according to IMDC score (1 to 2, 3 to 6) and region (US, Canada/Western Europe/Northern Europe, Rest of World) in accordance with IVRS
- b Absolute difference given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation
- c Information from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company in accordance with investigator. No confirmation of the radiological findings by the IRRC was made for this data cut-off.
- d Median survival time according to Kaplan-Meier. The 2-sided 95% CI was calculated using a log-log transformation (according to Brookmeyer and Crowley).
- e Number of patients included in the evaluation to calculate the effect estimate; values at the start of study may be based on other patient numbers.
- f MV and SE (change per treatment group) as well as MD, CI, and p value (group comparison): MMRM
- g A positive change compared with the start of study means an improvement.
- h HR and CI: Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; stratified according to IMDC score (1 to 2, 3 to 6) and region (US, Canada/Western Europe/Northern Europe, Rest of World) in accordance with IVRS and adjusted for value to baseline
- i Confirmed deterioration is considered if the values remain deteriorated by at least 7 or 10 points or if no data is available after deterioration. Patients whose values improve again into the non-clinically relevant range are censored. The analysis includes all the time points collected, including the follow-up time points.
- 100 days of follow-up without recording the progress of the underlying disease
- k 30 days of follow-up without recording the progress of the underlying disease

I No representation of effect estimation and CI because not informative

m In the case of 0 events, the HR was not calculable; the RR, CI (asymptotic) and p value (unconditional exact test, CSZ method according to Andrés and Mato, 1994) were used; in the calculation, the correction factor 0.5 was used in both study arms.

Abbreviations used:

CI: confidence interval; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; FACT-G: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – General; FKSI-DRS: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Kidney Symptom Index – Disease related Symptoms; IMDC: International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium; IRRC: Independent Radiology Review Committee; IVRS: Information from the speech dialogue system; MD: mean difference; MMRM: mixed model with repeated measurements; MV: mean value; n: Number of patients with (at least one) event; N: number of patients evaluated; n.c.: not calculable; n.a.: not achieved; PT: preferred term; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; SD: standard deviation; SE: Standard error; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs: versus

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with an intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 1–2)

approx. 1,760–1,790 patients

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)

approx. 350-1,060 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for OPDIVO® (active ingredient: nivolumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 25 June 2019):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/opdivo-epar-product-information_en.pdf

Only specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology with experience treating patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma, specialists in internal medicine and nephrology, and doctors from other specialisms participating in the oncology agreement may initiate and monitor treatment with nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab.

In accordance with the specifications of the EMA regarding additional measures for risk minimisation, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material for doctors as well as a patient card. The training and information material shall include, in particular, instructions on how to deal with the immune-mediated adverse reactions potentially occurring with nivolumab. Patients treated with nivolumab must be informed about the risks of treatment with nivolumab.

The CheckMate 214 (CA209-214) study exclusively investigated patients with renal cell carcinoma with clear cell histology. No data are available for patients with non-clear-cell renal cell carcinoma.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with an intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 1–2)

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab						
Initial treatment						
Nivolumab	€11,719.92					
Ipilimumab	€28,762.32					
Total	€40,482.24					
Follow-up treatment						
Nivolumab	€58,599.60					
Initial treatment + total follow-up treatment €99,081.84						
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Bevacizumab in combination with interferon alfa-2a						
Bevacizumab	€82,929.60					
Interferon alfa-2a	€15,468.70					
Total	€98,398.30					
Monotherapies						
Pazopanib	€54,402.40					
Sunitinib	€50,799.62					

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 July 2019)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/patient/ year		
Medicinal product to	Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Nivolumab in comb	Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab						
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 14- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	24	€1,704		

Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 28- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	14	€994		
Ipilimumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	4	€284		
Total	€1,278 – €1,988						
Appropriate compa	Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Bevacizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	26	€1,846		

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)

Designation of the therapy Annual treatment costs/patient						
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab						
Initial treatment						
Nivolumab	€11,719.92					
Ipilimumab	€28,762.32					
Total	€40,482.24					
Follow-up treatment						
Nivolumab	€58,599.60					
Initial treatment + total follow-up treatment	€99,081.84					
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Sunitinib	€50,799.62					
Temsirolimus	€58,039.80					

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 July 2019)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/patient/ year				
Medicinal product t	Medicinal product to be assessed:								
Nivolumab in comb									
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 14- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	24	€1,704				
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 28- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	14	€994				
Ipilimumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	4	€284				
Total					€1,278 – €1,988				
Appropriate compa									
Temsirolimus	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral preparations containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	52	€4,212				